

DECLASSIFIED
WITH DELETIONS

(CLASSIFICATION)

RICHLAND, WASHINGTON

DATE
January 19, 1968

PRODUCT SPECIFICATION FOR LITHIUM-ALUMINATE

J. P. KEENAN

RECEIVED 700 AREA
JAN 29 1968
RETURN TO
DOUGLAS UNITED NUCLEAR, INC.
DOCUMENT CONTROL CENTER

☐ OTHER OFFICIAL CLASSIFIED INFORMATION
THIS MATERIAL CONTAINS INFORMATION AFFECTING THE NATIONAL DEFENSE OF THE UNITED STATES WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE ESPIONAGE LAWS, TITLE 18, U. S. C., SECS. 793 AND 794, THE TRANSMISSION OR REVELATION OF WHICH IN ANY MANNER TO AN UNAUTHORIZED PERSON IS PROHIBITED BY LAW.

THIS DOCUMENT MUST NOT BE LEFT UNATTENDED OR WHERE AN UNAUTHORIZED PERSON MAY HAVE ACCESS. WHEN NOT IN USE, IT MUST BE STORED IN AN APPROVED LOCKED RECEPTACLE. WHEN RECEIVED, THE ADDRESSEE MUST SIGN A SIGNED RECEIPT FROM CLASSIFIED FILES, IT IS YOUR RESPONSIBILITY TO RETURN IT TO THE ISSUING OFFICE WITHIN THE LIMITS OF THIS PROJECT AND FOR THE ADDRESSEE'S PERSON. ITS TRANSFER TO ANY OTHER PERSON OR STORAGE AT YOUR PLACE OF RESIDENCE IS NOT TO BE DUPLICATED, IF ADDITIONAL COPIES ARE REQUIRED, THEY MUST BE OBTAINED FROM THE RELATED ISSUING FILE. ALL PERSONS READING THIS DOCUMENT MUST SIGN IN THE SPACE PROVIDED BELOW.

ROUTE TO:	PAYROLL	LOCATION	FILES ROUTE DATE	SIGNATURE AND DATE
<i>DU 77</i>	<i>92-6-25</i>	<i>92-6-25</i>		<i>11/20/02</i>
RECORD COPY	WESTINGHOUSE HANFORD COMPANY		INSPECTED BY	
	DECLASSIFICATION REVIEW		NAME	
	1ST REVIEW DATE		DATE	
	AUTHORITY		PNNL NSAT	
	NAME: <i>AWK-22</i>		<i>[REDACTED]</i>	
	2ND REVIEW DATE		<i>[REDACTED]</i>	
	AUTHORITY		<i>[REDACTED]</i>	
	NAME: <i>John WNC CO</i>		<i>[REDACTED]</i>	
DUN RECORDS DISPOSITION	<i>28 Rule</i>			
	<i>92695</i>			
Indefinite Retention			APPROVED FOR	
Destruction Card			PUBLIC RELEASE	
Authority: <i>B-5-2</i>	<i>Entire facility is abandoned</i>		<i>[Signature]</i>	
			<i>8/20/05</i>	
BEST AVAILABLE COPY				
DECLASSIFIED				
WITH DELETIONS				

(CLASSIFICATION)

DECLASSIFIED

DEL

DOUGLAS UNITED NUCLEAR, INC.

DUN-3714
Category C-5
Page 1

DISTRIBUTION:

DATE January 19, 1968

TO G. A. Huff

FROM J. P. Keenan

J. P. Keenan

1. GA Huff
2. KC Bowles
3. JP Keenan
4. KR Milner
5. DH Nyman
6. GF Owsley/RH Meichle
7. EV Padgett
8. HF Tew/LH Rice
9. CH Shaw
10. DUN 700 Files
11. DUN Records Center

SUBJECT PRODUCT SPECIFICATION FOR LITHIUM-ALUMINATE

As agreed upon at the January 9, 1968 meeting concerning the K-Reactor E-N demonstration loading, a guideline for a LiAlO_2 Product Specification is outlined for your review and comments. Based on past N-Reactor LiAlO_2 data and our experience with vendor and on-plant capabilities we recommend the following limits for chemical impurities, isotope concentration, dimensions and moisture:

1. Chemical Impurities

<u>Element</u>	<u>Max. Wt. (ppm)</u>
Antimony	30
Barium	50
Boron	25
Carbon	500
Cadmium	10
Chromium	200
Cobalt	10
Copper	200
Iron	1500
Manganese	50
Silicon	500
Sulfur	500
Zinc	275
Zirconium	275

Antimony, barium, chromium, cobalt, iron, manganese, zinc, and zirconium limits are specified to hold shielding requirements for irradiated targets within ICC limits for off-site shipments. Past experience has shown these impurity levels have not been exceeded and were no problem during irradiation or extraction.

[REDACTED]

DECLASSIFIED

DECLASSIFIED
WITH DELETIONS

DUN-3714
Page 2

DEL

Boron and cadmium are limited because of their impact on neutron economy. Although cadmium levels created no problem during our coproduct campaign, we did encounter a period where boron levels reached 200 ppm. However, we believe this is mainly a boron contamination problem at the vendor's plant and through liaison contact this level can be reduced. Also, boron levels should be controlled to reduce extraction problems in that it produces helium during irradiation.

Carbon and sulfur levels are specified because of the undesirable effects they produce during the extraction process. Excessive carbon increases extraction costs as more reducing medium is needed to purify the end product. Sulfur also affects extraction process costs; however, our data indicate sulfur levels have not been a major concern here

Copper and silicon are mainly intended for process control, but are specified for check purposes in the product. Copper has never exceeded the above limit. Excessive amounts of silicon would increase pellet hardness and affect density.

In addition to the above elements we intend to analyze incoming powder for sodium, fluorine, chlorine, and calcium for process control purposes.

2. Li⁶ Concentration

For a product specification we believe a meaningful method is to specify the weight of Li⁶ per unit volume of sintered LiAlO₂. This ratio is determined according to the following equation:

$$\frac{\text{Total Li in the LiAlO}_2}{(\text{wt. ratio})} \times \frac{\text{Li}^6/\text{Li in the LiAlO}_2}{(\text{wt. ratio})} \times \frac{\text{sintered density}}{(\text{wt. per volume})} =$$
$$\text{weight of Li}^6 \text{ per unit volume of sintered LiAlO}_2.$$

Assuming the Li⁶/Li ratio is adjusted to 17-19 a/o (14.926 - 16.743 w/c), the stoichiometry to 9.7 - 10.2 w/o Li in LiAlO₂ and the sintered density to 78 ± 5% of theoretical (1.91 - 2.17 g/cc) the finished product would contain 32.3654 ± 4.6935 mg Li⁶ per cc of LiAlO₂. This variation in isotopic content is equivalent to a range of ± 14.5% per element around nominal. The same variation in the earlier N-Fuels campaign was ± 10%.

We believe the above Li⁶ concentration per element is a realistic figure for the relatively small LiAlO₂ demonstration load planned under this program. If a more stringent control of Li⁶ per element is needed it may require more powder processing off-site to meet the tighter limits for stoichiometry and isotope level because blending will be required and an excessive amount of reject and recycle powder may be produced.

3. Pellet Dimensions

We anticipate no problems meeting the target dimensional specifications as shown on Drawing H-3-24303.

DECLASSIFIED
WITH DELETIONS

DECLASSIFIED

DUN-3714

DEL

Page 3

4. Moisture

During our coproduct run the moisture was limited to 150 ppm maximum and we recommend the same for K-size targets. Moisture levels are a direct function of time between pellet drying and pellet assembly. There has been some concern from your people to extend cooling time to allow sufficient pellet cool-down prior to assembly so that no annealing of your aluminum components will occur. This may necessitate changes from our assembly procedures, but this is a process problem which can be overcome through experience and tests of pellet cooling rate vs. moisture pickup within our assembly glovebox.

In summary, we have listed product goals that can be met with a minimum amount of cost, time, and effort both here and off-site. We would appreciate any comments you may have on these limits so that a final Product Specification can be drawn up in the near future.

JPK:KRM:mf

DECLASSIFIED