

# THE AUSTRALIAN FLUORIDATION NEWS



**ARTIFICIAL FLUORIDATION  
IS WATER POLLUTION**

Box 935G, G.P.O.  
Melbourne, Vic., 3001  
Phone: (03) 9592 5088  
Fax: (03) 9592 4544  
www.glenwalker.net

PLEASE PASS ON WHEN READ

Vol 42  
No.2

Price \$5.00  
\$25 per annum posted Australia

March-April  
2006

Print Post Approval  
PP331.985 00013  
ISSN 1445-2847

## FLUORIDATION THE GREATEST CON OF ALL TIMES THE GOVERNMENT DENTAL HEALTH BUNGLER

By Glen S.R. Walker

The great hustle, bustle and excitement by Australian Health Departments, sponsoring, endorsing and financing fluoridation, comes at a time when their official dental "scientific" data is the dregs in the scientific barrel, for all to see but few to bother.

Australia, The Lucky Country (?), but so stupid and dishonest in a health matter that is so obvious to intelligent people, responsible for not only themselves, but their family of all ages and a matter of moral significance.

During the past two years we have published official dental data showing the obvious failure of fluoridation, especially Sydney, fluoridated 40 years.

**This Australian Fluoridation News (established 1963) printed details of the dental decay in Sydney after 40 years of fluoridation and the only response from the A.D.A. was - "we need more dentists"!**

Strange their only retort to the disgusting and disgraceful dental result after the A.D.A. and all State Governments had and indeed still guarantee fluoridation is safe and effective when the actual results show the exact opposite.

We quote only their data as an apology for what we would like to record, data and information from the leading Anti-Fluoridation Association and the **Australian Fluoridation News** research.

Obviously it is their necessity to cover-up the real data published, see **The Sydney Morning Herald**, 15th and 16th February 2005, and our Fluoridation News, March 2005 under the heading - "Absolute Proof of Fluoridation Failure".

The Chairman of the Association for Promotion of Oral Health, Professor Zoeller, stated:

*"There is a significant proportion of the population with really bad oral health."*

The Head of the Australian Dental Control, Ross King, stated:

*"The challenge therefore is to get more dentists into the public system."*

**Sydney people including all its suburbs, fluoridated since 1968, 38 years, ALL DRINK THE SAME FLUORIDATED WATER (by compulsion), guaranteed to stop tooth decay and with hand on hearts politicians, University Dental Schools, practicing dentists confirm the wonders and success of fluoridation!**

Setting aside those TRUE revelations of dental disease data showing the absolute failure of fluoridation throughout Australia and its failed medical-dental concept, the big picture of fluoridation deceit just keeps increasing.

Who is looking after you and your family?

### **N.S.W. Parliamentary Inquiry**

Just one year after the **Sydney Morning Herald** study we are faced with more disgusting FACTUAL DENTAL DATA that confirms our "Absolute Proof of Fluoridation Failure".

The New South Wales Government Parliamentary "Inquiry into Dental Services in N.S.W." established on 7 April 2005 by resolution of the Legislative Council, published some of their findings, printed in the **Daily Telegraph** 21st February, 2006.

*Revelations of dental disease data showing the absolute failure of fluoridation throughout Australia.*

This data, evidence by Doctors, included the following disastrous and indeed disgusting situation of dental health concerning the people of N.S.W., evidence that also coincides with all the Australian population.

If only we had an Australian Media, separate or co-ordinated, willing, courageous and responsible to the people of Australia to make public all facts of the fluoridation rot.

The true fluoridation history, past and present, should be documented for all to see accordingly.

Here is the latest OFFICIAL dental fluoridation data, **Daily Telegraph** 21st February, 2006, but few Australians care or are afraid to think or believe in case they differ from their friendly doctor or dentist.

There is a very old Proverb, "Truth never hurt anyone".

*"In Western Sydney and a number of people State-wide waiting 5 years for dental treatment bulging to more than 180,000."*

The dental Professor said the delays were "unsustainable".

*"Meantime waiting lists had grown by 45,000 in the past year to 215,000."*

**Just consider this FACT.** Dental disease is increasing at a

rate of 45,000 people per year in N.S.W.

**What kind of Professional Standards have we fallen for when this evil dental situation exists after just on 40 years of compulsory artificial fluoridation in Sydney, which was promised to stop dental decay?**

Don't let this situation slip by with the usual 40 years of fluoridation propaganda that has not delivered any of the promises and guarantees by the N.S.W. Government supported by the A.D.A., A.M.A., N.H.M.R.C., universities, dental schools throughout Australia and no objection from pharmaceutical organisations.

**The dental health bungle that must be hidden and no accountability or responsibility associated with those responsible.**

Fluoridation will go down in medical history as the greatest bungle in medical science, and that opinion includes the ever increasing other health items with false claims.

---

*Fluoridation will go down in medical history as the greatest bungle in medical science.*

---

Perhaps or just maybe, you the reader, influenced by your dentist and the shoddy fluoridation propaganda combine, think the above is "just a flash in the pan" and of little importance, considering that the elitist fluoride pushers guarantees are the exact opposite of the results of fluoridation, still claiming "it is stopping tooth decay", these statements made with no reservation or excuse.

But they show no proof.

### **Public Examples of the Failure of Fluoridation**

Our organization, "The Freedom From Fluoridation Federation of Australia"; the "Anti-Fluoridation Association of Victoria" and the "Safe Water Association of N.S.W." together with **The Australian Fluoridation News**, all founded in the 1960s, use a large scientific shovel to dig for and exhume actual fluoridation and fluoride history, mostly buried out of sight for particular reasons concerning the unsubstantiated nonsense of the fluoridation fraud, that drinking fluoridated water will/does stop dental decay in all humans without exception resulting in the population having perfect teeth.

That large broad shovel unearthed the following:

The **Sydney Daily Mirror**, 9th October, 1989, printed an article with a large heading 2cm letters:

**"SOMETHING ROTTEN OUT WEST"**

**"Hundreds of under 10's in Sydney's West have teeth beyond repair.**

**One person working at Bidwill School Dental Clinic stated:**

**Parents are under a misconception that fluoride in N.S.W. drinking water will be enough to do the trick."**

That same statement was made in West Australia by the Chairman, A.D.A., Don Wilson reported in **The West Australian**:

**"Many parents had been lulled into a false sense of security about the protection of fluoride water."**

An article in the Melbourne **Herald Sun**, 19th January, 2004, stated:

**"LABOR'S \$400m TO FIX TEETH"**

**"About 228,000 Victorians are now facing an average two-year wait for dental care.**

**A third of Australians earning between \$30,000 and \$50,000 who had not been to the dentist for two years said it was because their inability to pay.**

**Some pensioners and low-income earners face a five-year wait to see a dentist."**

Another statement by the President of the A.D.A. Tasmania, shows the result of community fluoridated drinking water.

The A.D.A. President is quoted in the **Tasmanian Advocate**, 4th May, 2001, as follows:

**"Tasmania is on the brink of a dental crisis."**

That statement of a dental crisis after almost 50 years of compulsory fluoridation.

**Last year, people in Burnie, Tasmania, were walking the streets collecting signatures on a petition to the Tasmanian Government demanding relief from 5 years waiting lists for dental treatment.**

That is after 50 years compulsory mass medicating the population with fluoridating Tasmania's drinking water supplies. "There will never be dental decay problems in Tasmania." Indeed Tasmania has also been claiming 50 years of benefits from fluoridation, an example expressed throughout Australia by the fluoride travellers selling fluoridation.

---

*A dental crisis after almost 50 years of compulsory fluoridation.*

---

When reading the above, please do yourself a favour, indeed an important favour, keep your mind on all the "scientific, chemical, dental, medical, pharmaceutical and political guarantees made concerning the fluoridation principles of medicine:

**"Just add fluoride to drinking water supplies and hey presto (the sleight of hand conjurers command) - 'NO MORE DENTAL DECAY, NO NEED FOR DENTISTS'."**

**Each official Australian document on the benefits of fluoridation state its great benefit is for disadvantaged and poor people.**

The Victorian Government Department of Human Services (Health Department) produced a book on Fluoridation 2004 and posted it to householders throughout Victoria, recommending fluoridation with all its benefits.

They quote (p. 17):

**"Reduction of socioeconomic inequalities".**

**Community water fluoridation reduces the socioeconomic inequalities in caries experiences and remains the most socially equitable means of achieving a community-wide exposure to the caries prevention effects of fluoridation."** (1)

They give a professional reference to their claim:

(1) **"Dept. of Human Services Victoria. Evidence-based health promotion resources for planning, Oral Health, Jan. 2000."**

**Nice to make an important medical claim and then as a "reference" use themselves!**

Those claims are an original Australian and international dental furphy. Call black white; cover-up the facts; continually report such claims with well financed propaganda and propagate the furphy!

Let us return to the dental report, Sydney 1989 after 21 years of fluoridation in Sydney.

**The Daily Mirror**

**"Western suburbs dental therapists say, 'Children young as seven having teeth so badly decayed they are beyond the filling stage.'"**

**"One mother said, 'My God! That didn't come from my child's mouth. That tooth must belong to an animal,' when she saw the tooth taken from her child."**

This kind of dental data is repeated in the Sydney Morning Herald, February, 2005. A MATTER OF NEARLY 20 YEARS LATER, after 37 years of compulsory fluoridation.

Fluoridation dental rhetoric is more mythical than scientific. Ignorance about fluoridation and its scientific

entry into the real world is at glacier speed from its enormous volume of unsubstantiated fluoridation claims.

Lessons in factual history are ignored, **Sydney Morning Herald** 2005; **Daily Mirror** 1989; **Australian Fluoridation News** since 1963. Results are being drowned and buried and

"mistakes" repeated.

That is exactly what is happening around Australia today in covert operations conjured up by politicians who are somehow being guided to following a medical trail of human error.

# FLUORIDATION A MEDICAL FANTASY

By Glen S.R. Walker

Since fluoridation commenced in Australia 1953, dentists in Australia have increased by over 85% adjusted for population increase.

Dentists have also been officially recorded as being in the highest income bracket of professional people and dental graduates the actual highest income bracket group.

Fluoridation has bred an unbelievable number of followers operating in Australian Parliaments under their constitutional representation of the people who voted them into their privileged positions.

Australian people are considered world-wide as the happy smiling country and why not? We are governed and controlled by comic politicians!

The history of fluoridation, when written, will have relatively little medical data, whilst the real history originated in the Australian Parliaments.

Where there is no political accountability or responsibility, it opens a Pandora box of "anything goes" and "follow the leader", where politicians are indemnified in their completely unconstitutional, undemocratic behaviour.

In October 1989 in the Federal Parliament, the Minister of Health, Dr. Blewett, speaking in an expert manner of one representing the Health of the population stated (Hansard):

*"I will quote from the New South Wales branch of the Australian Dental Association. It said:*

*'Since fluoridation was introduced in the early 60's Australia's dental health record has changed from one of the worst in the world to one of the best. A recent Australian study has shown that decay rates in 12-year-olds decreased by 84 percent in the twenty years from 1962.'*

*'The dentists are speaking entirely without self-interest, because it can clearly be shown that they have lost a lot of their customers and clients as a result of the affect of fluoridation of water. They are speaking from a public health point of view.'*

Also in a letter from Dr. Blewett, Minister of Health in reply to a submission by one of our members, October 1987, the Minister stated:

*"The controlled introduction of fluoride into water supplies has resulted in continuing decline of dental decay amongst school children.*

*"During the period 1977-1986 the decay rates of children aged between six and thirteen years showed a decline of 60 percent.*

*"These surveys also indicate that Australia's oral health status in children has improved markedly and exceeds the annual international goals as established by the World Health Organisation."*

Of most interest as at that date 1987, he stated:

*"Various allegations which have been made from time to time concerning adverse effects on health due to fluoridation of water supplies are not supported by scientific evidences."*

What has happened to that claim by the Minister of Health that fluoridation is a continuous process stopping dental decay in children?

## Increase in Dental Decay after Fluoridation

**In 1986 the Minister of Health claimed that fluoridation benefits were a 60% reduction in dental decay.**

**Twenty years later 2006, the actual child dental decay rates are exactly opposite as documented surveys show a disgusting state of children's teeth and adults in Sydney, 20 years after the Minister's claim of a "continuous decline after fluoridation".**

Within less than 5 years after the Health Minister's claim of a 60% reduction of child dental decay, a survey by the **Daily Telegraph** (NSW) was printed 1989 on the same dental situation headed:

**"SOMETHING ROTTEN OUT WEST",**

they opened their survey article on children's teeth:

***"Hundreds of under 10's in Sydney's West have teeth decayed beyond repair."***

At that time 1989 those children had been drinking fluoridated water in their homes **all their lives!** Sydney was fluoridated 1968.

## Tooth decay beyond repair after drinking fluoridated water all their lives.

The Minister of Health laid claims that proved unsubstantiated scientifically and factually, but the listeners in the Parliament, if they were awake, were impressed with that fluoridation scientific misinformation.

Another Federal Minister of Health, Dr. Howells, stated - **Brisbane Courier**, 25th October, 1979:

*"Fluoridation which may be the most thoroughly studied community health measure of recent history and of which is of numerous proven benefits to dental health is subject to near virulent attacks on the grounds that it is unwarranted encroachment on individual liberty."*

Even Mr. Menzies, Prime Minister, entered the arena when speaking in Parliament, Canberra, 16th April, 1964 (Hansard):

*"People do not rush into new things in the medical world in a hurry. There is a prolonged experimentation."*

Two items of interest - the experiment is still going along unheeded even though the same Government signed the Nuremberg Code prohibiting experimentation on humans.

The protection of fluoride toothpaste includes the non-action of Australian Parliaments. Consider the fluoride situation when all fluoridated toothpaste manufacturers were forced by LAW to print on cartons and tubes that the fluoride toothpaste "is an S5 POISON". We move to the National Health and Medical Research Council that is specified by the

Government as:

*"The object of the N.H. and M.R.C. is to advise the Australian community on the achievements and maintenance of the highest practicable standards of individual and public health and foster research in the interest of improving those standards."*

The N.H.M.R.C. is the highest Government Organisation on Public Health.

Their publication, "The Effectiveness of Water Fluoridation" makes reference to fluoride toothpaste - page 131:

*"The variety of fluoride vehicles are regulated under different legislation and degrees of regulations vary greatly.*

*Similarly, toothpastes are classified as 'Cosmetics' for the purpose of sales regulations."*

Here is a political conundrum, including science, chemistry, pharmacology and that old-fashioned commonsense.

Fluoride toothpaste containing poisonous fluoride chemicals was obviously a case that qualified under The Poison Act.

---

### *The experiment with fluoridation is continuing even though the Government signed the Nuremberg Code prohibiting experimentation on humans.*

---

Fluoride toothpaste was classified as an S5 poison and by LAW manufacturers were forced to print a POISON warning and S5 notice on cartons and the toothpaste tube.

All tubes of fluoride toothpaste carried the POISON warning. Now comes the fluoride conundrum!

Who, when, why (although we can guess) was responsible for that proper pharmaceutical warning being removed from Australian public sight?

Returning to our question of responsibility and official authority, the question is asked for all official details relative to scientifically incorporating the POISON ACT and exactly how this S5 poison became a chemically and medically benign product by just changing its official specified S5 POISON to "a cosmetic" for "the purpose of sales regulations."? (N.H.M.R.C.)

The "Sales Regulations" of Fluoride Toothpaste was its "POISON S5" classification under the POISON ACT, which, today, has disappeared, it seems by direction of the National Health and Medical Research Council.

However, it does not stop just there.

The N.H.M.R.C. then seemed to try a diversion tactic, of changing "the POISON to a Cosmetic", by continuing their same paragraph to include: "An Australian Standards, number 2827 (Standards Association of Australia 1985) specifies among other requirements (not explained) that the fluoride concentration to toothpaste should not exceed 1000 ppm fluoride with tolerance levels of 90 to 110 percent." Here we tumble into another fluoride conundrum.

Today Colgates sell a fluoride toothpaste with 5000 ppm fluoride and not a word or public question by your Government to explain this 500% exceedence of the Australian Standard!

The trade name is Colgate - "NEUTRA FLUOR, 5000 plus".

Labels have the statement that it includes NEUTRAL SODIUM FLUORIDE CHEMICAL.

It is interesting as to what Neutral Sodium Fluoride is, in standard chemicals sodium fluoride is usually advised as "no affiliation as safe", call it as they like, it is still an extremely poisonous chemical.

Universities chemistry departments should be interested (but are not) in an S5 fluoride Poison "changing" its poisonous chemical character into a "COSMETIC" described in the Oxford Dictionary as:

*"Preparation, designed to beautify hair, skin and complexion".*

Such a description of an S5 fluoride poison by "Government Standard" shows how benign (gracious, gentle, fortunate and not malignant), this compulsory mass medicating toxic fluoride chemical exists in the minds of our Commonwealth and State Carers.

Yes we are the Lucky Country!

---

### **Recommended amount of fluoride toothpaste reduced by 99% - too dangerous for children**

Remember Colgates nightly TV advertisements, Mrs March showing children how to spread toothpaste on their brush?

Australian Fluoridation News presented our investigation into this child abuse Vol. 31, No 6, 1995.

All Australian health authorities were aware of this daily propaganda on fluoride toothpaste but did nothing even though we showed without any challenge that:

*"In the interest of children's health the amount of fluoride in toothpaste was reduced by 99% on the child's toothbrush."*

The original TV advertisement showed the amount of fluoride toothpaste recommended in the Colgate daily TV advertisement was about 2 inches or 5 cm, on the toothbrush.

---

### *All tubes of fluoride toothpaste carried the S5 poison warning.*

---

That has been reduced in a cover-up action by Governments and the Therapeutic Goods Administration in their Report 1997, which stated that Colgates now recommend for children under 6 years, that their parents, "supervise brushing with, 'just a smear of Colgates toothpaste (fluoride) and ensure children spit and rinse afterwards."

Why was the amount of fluoride toothpaste on the child's toothbrush entering children's mouths recommended to be reduced by 99%?

It was removed because it proved too dangerous for children to have the fluoride enter their mouths where it has a direct instant pathway into the child's blood, and adults also.

So we give our reasons why our organisation does not follow or agree with the promotion of fluoride toothpaste by so-called anti-fluoridationists.

No person in the world has been found deficient in fluoride.

Fluoridation and fluoride products are commercial schemes taking advantage of misinformed or unintelligent people, including overseas visiting fluoridation speakers.

---

### **Why warn if product safe?**

Could one of those so-called fluoridation experts answer one simple question for me?

If fluoride is totally safe to ingest, then why do toothpaste packs say "do not swallow"?

**PETER WATSON**, Culcairn  
The Border Mail, March 18, 2006

---

#### **ALL RIGHTS RESERVED**

Authorization to mechanically or electronically copy the contents of this publication is granted by the publisher to approved persons and organisations, provided acknowledgement is given to the author and publisher.