


THE AUSTRALIAN FLUORIDATION NEWS



ARTIFICIAL FLUORIDATION
IS WATER POLLUTION
www.fluoridationnews.com
afavaust@gmail.com
G.P.O. Box 935,
Melbourne, Vic., 3001
PLEASE PASS ON WHEN READ

Vol 28
No. 6

Price \$2.00
\$15 per annum posted Australia

November-December
1992

Registered by Australia Post —
Publication No. NBG0721

HIP FRACTURES AND FLUORIDATION FOR MANY YEARS WE TOLD YOU SO!

Such a statement is usually met with ire, and fluoridation disciples and their controllers are no exception.

Imagine their reaction to the latest article in the Journal of the American Medical Association, August 12, 1992:

"Hip fractures and fluoridation in Utah elderly population."

And the editorial on this research study titled:
"Please pass the Roach Poison again".

The A.M.A. Journal published such research data by Dr Christa Danielson et.al, Department of Family and Preventive Medicine, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, U.S.A. **Their research shows a 30 percent increase hip fracture in women, and 40 percent increase in men living in fluoridated areas, compared with similar age groups living in non-fluoridated areas.**

This confirms many other medical studies showing the same results, and confirmed by the Editor of the A.M.A. Journal.

The data indicates an important life-span time trend drinking fluoridated water and confirms the effect of fluoride accumulating in the body, especially the bones after many years, something that is hidden from those forced to ingest fluoride chemicals in their drinking water supply every day of their lives.

The Medical Journal Editorial paid particular attention to the Utah research and titled their editorial in a most appropriate manner —

"PLEASE PASS THE ROACH POISON AGAIN".

In the same Journal's 1966 Editorial they used the title: "MORE ROACH POISON".

This seems to indicate the A.M.A. have known this dangerous kind of chemical added to the public drinking water supplies.

How scientifically sound is the title of the editorial? The World Health Organisation's description of sodium silico-fluoride as used to fluoridate water supplies is:

"An insecticide, rodenticide, (rat poison) etc. etc." and in each description it is a chemical "to kill". The W.H.O. also admit "fluorides accumulate in bones". (W.H.O. Monograph, April 1982 Vo. 27).

A medical "hope"

The 1992 A.M.A. editorial referring to the 1966 opinions in their Journal that fluoridated water was good for the bones now state:

"Clearly that hope has not come to fruition."

It was an unscientific and unproven claim by the A.M.A. and all the fluoride pushers in 1966, but after 26 years, that claim is now classified as a "HOPE".

Why poison millions of people on a medical "hope"?

If you care to investigate the medical claims of 1966, you find in all the A.M.A., A.D.A. and government literature **an assurance** that fluoride will build better bones and never was the word "hope" ever used.

This fluoridation claim ("hope") was a carefully concocted piece of the grand fluoridation confidence trick pulled on the world's population, and repeated by politicians, doctors and dentists for reasons that mystify rational thinking people.

However, many medical and dental fluoridation

opinions, (hopes) are slowly being replaced with science, which often focuses on the past outrageous claims made willy-nilly about fluoridation opinions (hopes) that were gobbled up in great enthusiasm by so-called respectable Journals, newspapers, electronic media, politicians and the Australian Health Departments through which it was promulgated by untrained bureaucrats.

Not one iota of this scientific knowledge was considered in any manner when the A.M.A., A.D.A. and the U.S. health Department floated the great fluoridation hoax in 1945. Only after 45 years of poisoning populations is this serious and devastating medical misadventure being recognised and publication permitted and admitted in medical and scientific journals.

The 1992 A.M.A. Journal describes hip fractures as:
"A major community health problem".

The Editor states:

"In this issue of the Journal, yet another potentially controllable risk factor has been identified."

The ire of the A.D.A. was heard world wide! They counted the Utah University study data as follows:

"... the results were inconclusive and noted the researchers did not examine how much water the subjects drank."

This is a perfect case of fluoridation foot and mouth disease!

Never at any time when fluoridation is forced on a community does the A.D.A. or the A.M.A. ask the same question.

*Hip fractures: "a major
community health problem."*

A.M.A. Journal, 1992

There is no answer, because no two people drink the same amount of water per day or per year, but all that aside, it is encouraging that the A.M.A. find no fault in the data showing more hip fractures in the fluoridated areas.

In the 1960's Dr F.B. Exner, one of the great fluoridation researchers wrote:

"The whole idea that fluoridation may benefit the bones of the aged is based on the wildest speculation and wishful thinking, and has no rational basis of any kind in theory or fact."

The world-wide Anti-Fluoridation Organisations have attempted to publicize warnings to the public, alert medical and dental establishments, and as a last resort, hopefully expected elected politicians would show some semblance of honest concern for the people, instead of taking dangerous orders from the faceless controllers of their parties.

One cannot sympathise with the kind of person who depends upon their parliamentary job and subjected to "playing the game" set down by the controllers of their party.

At the first sound of the "F" word they "beat a retreat" and surrender to discretion rather than face facts. facts

OBITUARY

ROBERT J. MICK D.D.S.

1912 - 1992

Robert (Bob) Mick died 1st June, 1992 at his home in Chattanooga, TN, U.S.A.

Bob Mick held the position of Lieutenant Colonel in the U.S. Army, but was threatened with Court Marshall if he continued to discuss the dangers and unnecessary use of fluoridated drinking water supplies forced on all U.S. Defence Personnel, irrespective of where they were serving.

His military career was virtually stopped in Germany in 1955 by an over zealous General (name and title recorded in Bob Mick's Army records). Bob Mick did his own experimental work on the effects of fluoridation, allowing him to talk with such authority on the subject, but so much of his research has been suppressed in the media and the health organisations.

After being so "ungraciously" forced from the U.S. military, he commenced his own dental practice in New Jersey, covering a dental career of 54 years.

His favourite line was:

"Constipation is a bigger problem than tooth decay, but nobody is advocating putting laxative in the drinking water supplies."

Only a few weeks before he died, he asked me to join him writing a book on his life in dentistry with particular reference to the scandalous actions of the U.S. military in gagging his fluoridation studies whilst in the Army.

His natural humour kept him above depression. An example is his footnote to my letter he stated "This book would be a best seller if every other page was a photo of a nude woman, if so I think we would have a chance."

The seriousness of Bob Mick's fluoridation knowledge is recorded in his documented material that describes "The global fluoridation of military posts," the responsible Military Commander whose decision made it final, members of the Department of Health, the print media and the faceless back-up members of the National Health Department.

In the 1950's Bob Mick was responsible for the \$100,000 offer to any one who can present a controlled study showing fluoridation is "safe, beneficial and will cause no future body harm."

After 40 years, not one pro-fluoridation expert has applied! Easy money gone to waste!

Bob Mick went through both stages of fluoridation. He was one of the world's first practising dentists to promote fluoridation "that was from 1944 through to 1948 until I became interested in body chemistry".

Bob Mick loved to write his letters to me on his father's old company stationery, Laurel Springs, New Jersey, dated 1878.

Lieutenant Colonel Robert Mick (Ret) DDS, we salute you as a great man, a friend and a wonderful crusader for all people of all nations.

Glen S. R. Walker

that differ in principle from the ill-founded fluoridation propaganda promoted by their parties.

Jobs or truth? Electorate or Party? Community health safety or non-accountability? Party solidarity, all in place of honest representation and Constitutional respect for the will of the people which after everything else is the rule set down in the Constitution.

Fluoride and hip fracture research

During the past 20 years, much research has been publicized on fluoride and hip fractures, but ignored by those in responsible positions supposedly "guarding the health and rights of the Australian people".

Dr John Lee, a fluoridation researcher of California has published many Papers on the subject showing 30 percent increase in osteoporosis and hip fractures in fluoridated areas compared with the non-fluoridated communities.

Dr John Colquhoun, a fluoridation researcher in New Zealand also has published data showing the increase in hip fractures in fluoridated areas.

Dr P.R.N. Sutton (Medical Hypotheses 1987), and Dr Geoffrey Smith (N.Z. Medical Journal 1985) both indicated cause and effect of fluoride accumulation in the human bones.

Dr William Marcus, senior science Adviser to the U.S., E.P.A., warned (July 1991) the E.P.A. that U.S. population is currently sustaining fluoride induced injuries such as hip fractures. (He was thanked by being dismissed).

"The U.S. population is currently sustaining fluoride induced injuries such as hip fractures."

Dr. William Marcus, senior science advisor to the U.S. E.P.A. (subsequently dismissed)

Some disturbing questions arise from the important 1992 A.M.A. Journal report on hip fractures.

Although the news of this latest scientific research, and of course the general interest throughout Australia about fluoridation and hip fractures was distributed throughout Australia via the Australian Associated Press, 12th August 1992; only one Capital City daily published the A.A.P. Utah Report. When one National Radio Station was questioned as to why they did not include the A.A.P. Report in their News, they answered "maybe it was not important".

So originally, fluoridation was really a HOPE not a science. Today we need a "higher help" of protection than we now receive from responsible (sic.) medical, dental and political fluoride fire-eaters.

Fluoridation will finally fade into insignificance, but historically it makes such old treatments as cutting a hole in a patient's head to let out evil spirits, look like modern medical science.

All of this even though "We told you so".

References

Aust. Fluoridation News Vol. 24/2/1988, A.M.J. Clinical Nutrition December 1986, Chem. and Eng. News July 1990, A.M.A. Journal 1991, Marcus U.S., E.P.A. 1990, EL-KHOWY, AJR, July 1982, Gerster, Brit. Med. Journal Sept. 1983, Jacobson et. al J, A.M.A. July 1990, Lindsay New England J. of Med. March, 1990, Hensche 1980, Repat. Hos. S.A., Colquhoun Paper at Hamilton May, 1991, N.Z. Med. J. 14/8/1991, The Mercury April 2, 1990, Lee Nat. F. News 1986, Sowers A.M. J. Clin. Nutr. 1986, A.M., J., E.P.I.D. 1991, Headlund J. of Bone and Min. Res. 1989, A.A.P. 22/3/1990, W.H.O. Criteria 36 1984, W.H.O. Amm. Rev. 1981, Anala, A.C.T.A. orthop. Scand. 56, 161/166, 1985. Teolia. et. al Fluoride Jan, 1988, Riggs, New Eng. J. of Med. March 1990 Walker, Poison on Tap 1982. The Ageing Factor, Yamouyiannis 1983. Sutton P. Fluoride 25(3) 1992. Lee J., Fluoride 25(3) 1992.

Vol. 20, no. 6, p. 2

OVERDOSING BABIES WITH FLUORIDES

Fluoridation commenced in Australia just on 40 years ago, and during all that time, babies have been overdosed with fluorides.

Only last year, 1991, the N.H. and M.R.C., the Commonwealth Health watch-dog, announced in their fluoridation report that babies were at risk of fluoride poisoning from baby formula foods made up with fluoridated water.

That long overdue admission by the Australian Health Department is small consolation for the previous 40 years of irresponsible scientific and medical standards, by the very Department set up to protect Australian people's health.

Even with that serious admission of medical ignorance in fluoride chemistry, the Government has made no attempt to publicly warn the Australian population, especially mothers with babies, in spite of many letters requesting a multi-language Government advertisement to be published in all daily press.

Babies are at risk of fluoride poisoning from baby formula foods made up with fluoridated water.

N.H. and M.R.C. 1991

How many years of documented evidence is needed before the highest medical authority in Australia comes clean, and admits their dilatory past endorsements on the use of fluorides for children, especially babies!

In 1981, Kay Dupuy in her book, *The Fluoridated Water Gate Victoria, 1981* stated:

"However, if a powdered milk formula is used and prepared with fluoridated water, the infant will consume, from the water alone, well over 1 mg of fluoride daily. This is more than 4 times (400%) the maximum recommended in 1977 by the Council of Dental Therapeutics U.S.A."

The 1982 book *Fluoridation, Poison on Tap* by Glen S.R. Walker, page 276 states:

"An average six month old baby weighing 16 to 20 pounds should consume 2 1/2 ounces of milk per pound body weight per day, making the weight of its daily milk between 40 and 50 ounces.

If a powdered milk formula is used and prepared with fluoridated water, the infant will consume, from the water alone, well over 1 milligram of fluoride daily. This is FOUR TIMES the maximum recommended in 1977, by the U.S. Council of Dental Therapeutics. One would have expected the pathologist on the Committee to have alerted the two other non-medically qualified members into taking this overdosing seriously. However, in spite of three full submissions on this subject, it was completely ignored.

Human milk contains very little fluoride, this being the case, it strongly suggests that infants do not require and should not have supplements of fluoride.

Development pharmacology is a new and rapidly expanding sector of pharmacology. It is based on the fact that cells in the course of rapid growth and differentiation may develop a specific sensitivity to low concentrations of drugs and toxins, with permanent disruption of their functions as a result.

The nerve cells of the brain are to a great extent homogeneous at the time before birth and thus constantly sensitive to a substance which disrupts this differential process. Animal experiments have shown that the administration of drugs in doses which are not harmful to the adult organism may produce specific permanent disorders in the learning ability and other subtle behavioural components of the young.

As Professor Carlsson said in 1978:

'One wonders what a 50-fold increase in the exposure to fluoride, such as occurs in infants bottle-fed with (fluoridated) water-diluted preparations, may mean for the development of the brain and other organs.'

He also said:

'Again, problems associated with this can be solved only by precise and comprehensive epidemiological studies in which, for example, breast-fed and bottle-fed babies are compared in localities with a varying water fluoride content. No studies of this kind have yet been made!'

(Professor Carlsson, Professor of Pharmacology, University of Gothenburg, Sweden).

Again a public statement (never refuted by the N.H. and M.R.C., A.D.A., A.M.A., or any Health Minister or Health Department in Australia) was printed in the *Geelong Advertiser*, April 16, 1986 in a letter by Dr Philip Sutton (DDSc (Melb.) L.D.S., F.R.A.C.D.S., Academic Associate, University of Melbourne, formerly Senior Lecturer in Dental Science, Senior Research Fellow), again gives sound warnings about overdosing of babies with formula food, made up with fluoridated water.

"During the current discussion whether the people of Geelong should be compulsorily medicated with fluorine through their drinking water, one important consideration has been ignored.

This is the feeding of bottle-fed infants whose formulas are prepared with water from domestic taps.

In November, 1985, a committee of the National Health and Medical Research Council stated that: 'During the last decade there has been a reduction in the recommended dosage of fluoride supplements basically for children aged 0-2 years.'

The N.H. and M.R.C. said that, where the water contains little fluoride, infants two weeks to two years of age, should receive a quarter of a milligram of fluoride daily — only a quarter of the dose originally suggested.

That amount of fluoride is contained in about a quarter of a litre of fluoridated water.

In 1984, Ekstrand, Hardell and Spack found that bottle-fed infants (where fluoridated water is used) receive 150 times as much fluoride per day as is received by their breast-fed counterparts.

In 1979, the main U.S. food and drug authority ceased classifying fluorine as "essential or probably essential" in human diet, therefore it is a foreign substance.

Professor Roger Short, FRS, said in 1985: 'To introduce any foreign substance into the baby's diet at this time must be assumed to be hazardous until it can be proven otherwise.' (One established hazard is the development of dental fluorosis).

This problem with bottle-fed infants was brought to the attention of the Minister for Health, Mr White, but no comment on it has been received."

The trouble with fluoridation is that these people who irrationally promote fluorides and fluoridation, play the old game of 'Cowboys and Indians' and at any sign of scientific data against fluoridation they 'circle the wagons'.

The programmed method of promotion and protection of fluoridation, invented 50 years ago, is now followed by all teaching academics, A.M.A., A.D.A., and in particular the numerous second-rate politicians who serve the fluoride lobby instead of the Australian Constitution and the people who elected them as their representatives in the Parliament.

And still the Australian babies are overdosed with excessive fluoride chemicals.!

Vol. 28, no. 6, p. 3

THE PHILOSOPHY OF FLUORIDE

A FUNNY EQUATION

Fluoridation — Good intentions and bad principles

Dr Samson, Department of Politics, University of Bristol, puts the case against fluoridation precisely and irrevocably.

"But however irritating to them, the fact may be, try as they will, the fluoridators cannot answer the objection that the measure is incompatible with human freedom. No amount of ransacking constitutional law books, invocation of legal authorities, appeals to the principle of Parliamentary Sovereignty, touches the principle, immediately evident to all unprejudiced men, that the forcing of any ingredient into the body of another is a most fundamental violation of his right to personal liberty. This cannot be denied."

Oral and Dental Diagnosis 1949 presented a statement by K.H. Thomma, which describes fluoride fanatics even as at today.

"The neurotic depends upon opinions other than his own and is swayed by remarks of others without analysing the facts. He feels that his opinions must be enforced, and even if proven in error he will not "give in" because this hurts his ego ideal."

Then comes the *American Medical Association Journal* 1989 and their article on "Preventive Dentistry".

Their fluoride claims of decay reductions are,

1. Fluoridation of water supplies 50-65%
2. Fluoride drops 40%
3. Fluoride tablets 25-40%
4. Fluoride mouth rinses 30-40%
5. Fluoride toothpaste 20-40%
6. Professionally applied fluoride gel 30-40%

However they also advise the universal use of sealants which they rate as 65-100% efficient, a much higher rating than any fluoride treatment.

Surely there cannot be a dentist in the world earning a living by filling or extracting children's teeth if all the above exotic successes with fluoride are scientifically true.

Just imagine 265 percent improvement in children's teeth with fluoride, then add the 100 percent effect with sealants — making a 365 percent improvement.

These must be the same people promoting the Easter Bunny and all the other fairy tales.

Note the extravagant claims (hoax) by the fluoride pushers and relate it to the statement in the same medical journal:

"Private expenditures for dental care in 1985 exceeded \$21 BILLION; dental expenditure from all sources were reported to be \$29.6 BILLION in 1986."

Take it any way you wish, it still amounts to a "funny" equation.

IS FLUORIDATION SAFE AND EFFECTIVE

Continued from Sept.-Oct. issue, page 4.

Leverett concluded by stating:

"There needs to be extensive research addressing the issue of increased fluoride in the food chain. This research should include studies of the bio-availability of fluorides found in foodstuffs."

As a reference to the last statement, Leverett added:

"Reference No. 50

Although there has been considerable research on the fluoride content of foodstuffs, little is known regarding the amount that is actually absorbed, especially by infants and small children."

Leverett could have gone one step further and stated that the dangers to babies are compounded by adding fluoridated water to baby formula food, but of course this then identifies the whole problem with fluoridated water.

No responsibility for harm

The American Medical Association stated 13/5/1965 (letter to *Australian Fluoridation News* Editor):

"The American Medical Association is not prepared to state that no harm will be done to any person by water fluoridation. The A.M.A. has not carried out any research work either long-term or short-term regarding the possibility of any side effects."

So the early fluoride warnings were documented from 1900 onwards. It has taken 90 years for the Australian "medical experts" appointed by the Government, and responsible for protecting the health of the community against dangerous drugs, chemicals and indeed prescription medicine to act in a responsible and proper manner, issuing **silent** warnings, especially for fluoride overdosing of young children (they dare not venture into the known dangers to adults).

They do not even consider it important to notify the Australian population that this dangerous fluoridation rort has been protected and its dangers kept from the public.

August 13, 1992 — increase in hip fractures

The U.S. Journal of the American Medical Association August 13, 1992 published a research study by scientists at the Utah University. This study shows 30%

more hip fractures in women drinking fluoridated town water supply and 40% more men suffering the same fate from fluoridated water supplies.

The Editor of the A.M.A. Journal under the title — "Please pass the Roach Poison Again" said:—

"In this issue of the Journal (13 Aug 1992) yet another potentially controllable risk factor has been identified."

And yet the A.M.A. endorse fluoridation as "safe and effective!"

The Australian Government do not recommend fluoride tablets for pregnant women. (Hansard 16 Aug 1984)

But Australian doctors and many dentists still prescribe fluoride tablets to pregnant women in spite of absolutely no medical evidence of benefit, but known possibilities of harm.

Once the fluoride bug gets into professionals, nothing seems to alter their fluoride fantasy or fanatical prescribing of this universally condemned procedure.

The noise of legislators and their ilk can never be substituted for intelligence; such noise and intelligence are incompatible.

Consider the **quality of today's Australian politics** and political leaders past and present, the bureaucrats and so many so-called "respectable" and responsible organisations, and be warned, these are the people of such quality that are compelling you by law, to accept a lifestyle **they consider best for you and your family.**

Frightening isn't it!

One would not wish to follow their principles or lifestyle **but you have no choice in fluoridation.**

We do not apologise for repeating the message that these noisy legislators live on Australian apathy.

Do you make a good meal for the noisy dictators, or do you wish to help enforce the Australian Constitution and "the will of the people". Your silence can be mistaken for tacit approval and giving control of your body to such people!

Subscriptions: *The Australian Fluoridation News*

- Australia (excluding Victoria) and overseas
Box C9, P.O. Clarence Street, Sydney 2000
- Victoria Anti-Fluoridation Association of
Victoria, Box 935 G, G.P.O. Melbourne. 3001

Vol. 20, no. 6, p. 4