


# THE AUSTRALIAN FLUORIDATION NEWS



ARTIFICIAL FLUORIDATION  
IS WATER POLLUTION

[www.fluoridationnews.com](http://www.fluoridationnews.com)

[afavaust@gmail.com](mailto:afavaust@gmail.com)

G.P.O. Box 935,

Melbourne, Vic., 3001

PLEASE PASS ON WHEN READ

Vol 28  
No. 5

Price \$2.00  
\$15 per annum posted Australia

September-October  
1992

Registered by Australia Post —  
Publication No. NBG0721

## IS FLUORIDATION SAFE AND EFFECTIVE?

**A historic perspective on fluoridation claims, evidence and government and authority attitudes to fluoridation and fluoride dangers.**

*"Where there is no vision, the people perish."*

Proverbs, 29-18

The Commonwealth Government, through its Health Department, The National Health and Medical Research Council, plus all other divisions seen and unseen, claim without any equivocation that fluoridation is "safe and effective".

All State Health Ministers and their Departments claim fluoride is safe and effective. From the above, directives go out to all health auxiliaries, including hospitals, making sure they take up the catch cry "fluoridation is safe and effective".

The A.M.A. and A.D.A. publicize in their journals that fluoridation is safe and effective.

The Universities teach in no small manner that fluoridation is safe and effective, and without expressing complete agreement, a student would be in a difficult situation.

The Australian Media compounds these claims by publishing endorsements without question.

Baby Health Centres advertise and advise mothers that fluoridation is safe and effective.

So fluoridation is promoted in an endless way through these organisations based on the claim that "fluoride is safe and effective".

The taxpayers' money used in fluoridation promotion is without budget or concern. It is an order from unseen controllers that fluoridation at any expense, health-wise or monetary, must be made mandatory throughout Australia and promotion must continue unabated until that goal is reached.

That is what we face in this "democratic" society, and those at the forefront present the community with a claim based supposedly on respectability, honesty, academically qualified and legally correct.

### **\$100,000 Offers**

Thirty years ago, some sixty American scientists offered \$20,000, then increased it to \$45,000 and now \$100,000 for "the first individual who can provide one copy of any controlled experiment with the U.S.P.H.S. recommended fluorides in water that show poisonous fluorides are safe, beneficial and will cause no future body harms."

This is easy money for those American-Australian fluoridation experts who claim fluoridation is safe and effective.

On Thursday, 5th October, 1978 an Australian businessman, Mr Donald Heggie, publicly presented the Ballarat Anti-Fluoridation Association with a cheque for \$100,000.

This was available to:

*"Anyone who can provide Mr Heggie with proof that fluoridation is safe for all people at all times."*  
(Ballarat Courier 5th October, 1978).

Here was another \$100,000 making a total of \$200,000 guaranteed paid to any politician who votes for fluoridation, the Liberal and Labor Parties, the A.M.A., A.D.A., N.H. and M.R.C. and any private doctor or dentist.

Not one enquiry has been made for the \$200,000.

We are either an extraordinarily rich community, or our fluoridation experts base their fluoridation claims on houses of straw.

Recently, Mr Ted Rowell of Portland, Oregon, U.S.A. went one step further endeavouring to clear the

---

*Rewards for hundreds of thousands of dollars for proof of safety NOT claimed.*

---

\$200,000 off the fluoridation plate.

He contacted every State Senator and State Representative in the Washington State Legislature, and offered \$200,000 for proof of fluoridation safety. The required proof was never received.

A further \$5,000 was offered to any Legislator's child who could "send out" and obtain the required data.

The offer went wanting.

Ted Rowell said:

*"If Swiss type bank accounts are common, why isn't \$205,000 honest money inducement enough to learn the truth?"*

During the introduction to the Victorian Fluoridation Act in Parliament, politicians were asked to sign a legal declaration in conjunction with their Party to guarantee "not one person will be harmed from drinking fluoridated water". The request went wanting.

Since that time in 1973, dentists, doctors and politicians throughout Australia have been asked to sign such a legal document guaranteeing the safety of fluoridation.

Not one has signed.

So the safety of fluoridation and fluoride chemicals is political kite-flying at the expense of not only the community, but true science in general.

If you claim to be a rational person, we challenge you to come out from under the political, dental and medical fluoridation umbrella that does not shelter you from fluoride safety.

### **Is fluoridation effective?**

The "experts" say without hesitation that fluoridation is effective and the claims made in Australia range as high as 90 percent. That really sounds great.

Percentages are a calculated fluoridation scam. These "experts" wave the flag of such high percentages to claim effectiveness.

This is repeated all over the world, printed in most government, medical and dental literature, hoping that after the unlimited expense and repetition, the Goebbels' theory will universally prevail.

Honesty and scientific pressure has forced the huge international fluoridation lobby to reassess their 40 year claim of high efficiency. They were forced in 1988 to reduce their unfounded 60 to 90 percent down to about 15 percent, showing a scam of at least 45 percent over four decades of false propaganda. (Report of N.I.D.R., USA)

Translating 60 percent into **actual** decay, means less than an average of one surface per child's tooth, so if you calculate the difference in today's claim of 15 percent, the difference is insignificant.

However, their claim of effectiveness has never been scientifically established, because children's teeth in **unfluoridated areas** of the developed world are just as good as the children's teeth in fluoridated areas.

World fluoridation efficiency claims have never stood the test of scientific scrutiny, resulting in the whole program being a hoax, but a financially successful hoax.

We have questioned all the fluoridation endorsers listed above by asking them to provide "a dental scientific clinical study, printed in a scientific journal, that proves fluoride, and solely fluoride stops tooth decay in children." (Poison on Tap, p.36, G. Walker 1982.)

This question has never been answered since it was first asked by us in 1977 of Prime Ministers, Presidents,

---

*Children's teeth in unfluoridated areas of the developed world are just as good as the children's teeth in fluoridated areas.*

---

Cancer organisations, health and dental associations, and of course the World Health Organisation, universities, A.M.A., A.D.A. That question was also asked in a court of law, with a negative answer.

There is no evidence of any such clinical study that proves fluoridation and fluoride solely has stopped tooth decay in children.

The fluoridation hoax is so obvious that maybe that is the reason for its continued existence. People seem not aware of the simplicity governing the hoax, and its false claims of safety and effectiveness.

If you believe your elected politician, your dentist, doctor, university professor, N.H. and M.R.C., the A.M.A., the A.D.A., your local health department, then you should collect the \$200,000 without delay.

We will be delighted to publish your clinical scientific research in the next *Fluoridation News*.

Fluoridation claims are based on the Emperor's Clothes syndrome, and is presented using the parliamentary parroting syndrome. Sadly, particular sections of the community would rather follow such propaganda, irrespective of its genuineness, or even its effect on themselves and their family.

Even without the Emperor's Clothes syndrome, they watch in admiration the fluoridation lobby impersonating King Canute, attempting to *stop the flow of true science*.

The New World Order lives on this posturing and the communities apathy, laziness and lack of courage to face the realities of life, satisfied in being led along a road of least resistance and personal effort. When this climate exists, in return you get the Parliament you deserve, and the dictatorial treatment of personal control by politicians.

### Is Fluoridation Safe?

Will the Australian Fluoridation Lobby, politicians included, state the value of even one life?

On 23rd May, 1992 one man died and many suffered serious fluoride poisoning in Hooper Bay, Alaska, when the fluoridation plant malfunctioned. Fluoride levels in their drinking water reached 60 ppm F — one test showed 150 ppm F.

Is fluoridation safe? In 1979 a man died from fluoride poisoning when the fluoridation plant malfunctioned at Maryland Annapolis, U.S.A. Tonnes of beverages and food were destroyed by Government order because of contamination with the fluoride.

Is fluoridation safe?

The list goes on with only a small percentage of accidents and corresponding health problems admitted by local authorities and published.

So-called responsibility without any accountability

---

*One man died and many suffered serious fluoride poisoning when the fluoridation plant malfunctioned.*

Alaska, May 1992

---

governs fluoridation, and indeed all other failed "safe and effective drugs", which have been recommended and endorsed by the N.H. and M.R.C. as "safe and effective".

Recently an Australian politician wrote to the Commonwealth Health Department requesting a complete list of drugs, medicines, chemicals, medical apparatus, contraceptives and devices etc. recommended for humans, but subsequently banned and removed from doctors prescriptions and sale in Australia.

One would consider this an important matter in our Health Department, not only for the public, doctors, scientists and not the least important, to all State Health Departments and their personnel.

The reply from the Therapeutic Goods Administration, Commonwealth Department of Health, stated:

"Such a list is not available."

However, all is not lost because they also stated:

"After discussions with officers of Commonwealth Department of Primary Industry and Energy, and Department of the Arts, Sport and the Environment, Tourism and Territories, it is understood that a list of banned chemicals, included those used in agriculture and affected the environment will be prepared in the near future by these Departments."

That paragraph probably illustrates the "health professionalism" in the control of drugs and medication in Australia.

Primary Industry? Energy, and Department of Arts? Sport? Environment? Tourism and Territories? These, the Health Department say, are the official Departments controlling the safety of your life with drugs (fluorides), medicines etc!

We normal mortals thought the N.H. and M.R.C., the Department that regulates such endorsements and withdrawals by banning, should not only have ready access to such data, but be vitally interested. How one misjudges the importance placed by these Departments on dangerous drugs, which have caused thousands of Australians serious lifetime reactions and death. Many of course have been paid "some" compensation.

However, the question is — What is the value of a person's health, lifestyle and life?

Is fluoridation safe?

As far back as 1924 the U.S.A. Government stated:

"Any addition of fluoride to any article of food is an offence under the Preservations of Food Regulations."

The Department also stated:

"Fluoride was the most objectionable preservative."

"Fluorides were used in so many foods from meat to butter."

One reference in 1907, International Congress on Hygiene, reported fluorides as "Cell Poisons and specifically harmful for metabolism of bones and teeth."

*Vol. 207, no. 5, p. 2*

Even in 1890 it was documented that cattle fed on fluoride contaminated food "developed brittleness of the bones".

The 1937 Annual Report, Ministry of Health, stated:

*"Even in the smallest amount there is no evidence that fluorides are essential for bones or teeth."*

In 1900 the Australian Government prohibited fluoride chemicals as a preservative in wine. It was "An Act to Prevent the Adulteration of Wine".

Are fluoride chemicals safe in food? Is water a food? The Nobel Prize Winner, Professor H. Theorell, in communication to the Royal Medical Department Stockholm, Sweden, 3rd March, 1958 stated:

#### **"Fluoride and Enzymes**

*As far as is known, the toxicity of the fluorine ion is due solely to its inhibiting effect on many enzyme systems. In assessing the role that these enzyme systems may play, extreme caution is called for, as a large number of unknown factors enter here. The example of lipase inhibition by such small amounts as 1 part in 5 million, may be taken as an illustration."*

---

*"Any addition of fluoride to any article of food is an offence under the preservation of Food Regulations."*

USA Government, 1924

---

On 15th August, 1963 the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health wrote:

1. Sodium fluoride used for therapeutic effect would be a drug, not a mineral nutrient.
2. Fluoride has not been determined essential to human nutrition.
3. A minimum daily requirement for sodium fluoride has not been established."

**These data are still unanswered after 30 years.** Is fluoride and fluoridation safe?

#### **Australia 1973**

Two-year-old Jason Burton died after ingesting 6 fluoride tablets. The death certificate No. 41182 Queensland, stated:

*"Cause of death, 'fluoride poisoning'."*

How much longer must we play fluoride Russian roulette by compulsion?

In New York 1979, a child died after ingesting some fluoride gel in a dentist's rooms, and the parents were granted through the courts \$750,000 damages against the dentist but lost their child!

Since the first introduction of fluoride tablets, and indeed fluoridated toothpaste, the false sales propaganda, cleverly and dishonestly overshadowed fluoride pharmacology and toxicology with the world ubiquitous catch-cry "Fluoride and fluoridation is safe and effective" to the extent that one wonders why it was not impressed on the walls of our new Commonwealth Parliament House.

#### **What about safety in recommended fluoride doses?**

The original fluoride tablet dose has been considerably reduced by 75 percent for babies, and two-year olds, but the overdosing has been covered up by the "respectability requirement" of those responsible.

Then the dangerous toothpaste health fiasco. Don't waste a spot on the toothbrush — completely cover it because the stuff is "so safe and effective". Children depicted on television with brush loads of fluoridated toothpaste, mouths full and specially flavoured to make it taste like a wonderful cordial.

Children literally ate the stuff, and their parents encouraged by their dentists, doctors, the government and the manufacturers though they were doing great things for their children because "fluorides were safe and effective".

1991. A Government Warning, (N.H. and M.R.C.) has at last been issued — children should only use a pea-sized piece of fluoridated toothpaste on the brush,

and even then should be supervised by an adult, making sure the child does not swallow any fluoridated toothpaste.

Colgates, the largest fluoridated toothpaste manufacturer now offer a new Junior Fluoridated Toothpaste with a REDUCED fluoride content. **The fluoride content is reduced by 50%. Why?**

Is there a "FIFTH COLUMN" directing world health organisations?

The Greenhouse syndrome and the hole in the ozone theories fade into insignificance compared with the compulsory daily drenching of every person with poisonous fluorides.

#### **Fluorides Tablets for Pregnant Women**

We still have fluoride tablets prescribed by doctors to pregnant women, even though the Australian Government withdrew its fallacious endorsement for such treatment many years ago.

In 1966 the U.S. Food and Drug Administration ordered the removal of recommendations and prescriptions made for the fluoride dosing of pregnant women with fluoride tablets. (Federal Register Vol. 31 No 204. 20 Oct. 1966).

1958 — American Dental Association recommended that for children two to three years, one tablet of 1 mg fluoride per day.

By 1979 they had altered their recommendations by reducing the amount to 0.5 - 0.25 (1/4 of a tablet) mg per child per day.

They were also becoming concerned in 1979 that most baby formula foods in unfluoridated areas had only 0.15 ppm fluoride, whereas in the fluoridated areas it had trebled to 0.58 ppm fluoride. By 1991 the baby formula foods contained over 1 ppm F and when made up with fluoridated water contained over 2 ppm. After viewing this excessive difference they agreed safety was exceeded because of fluorosis. (1991 statement by NH&MRC "Australian Baby Foods made up with Fluoridated Water").

19th March, 1966 — Two "Well performed race horses" died and fifteen other horses were very sick at Randwick after veterinary surgeons accidentally gave the horses a salt drench containing sodium fluoride. The horses died the same day they were fluoride drenched.

---

*Government warning issued at last — children should only use a pea-sized piece of fluoridated toothpaste on a brush.*

N.H. and M.R.C., 1991

---

#### **The Mayo Clinic, Rochester, U.S.A.**

July 16, 1973 advised:

*"We have had twelve patients exposed to fluoride for two years or more. Of these eleven developed symptomatic bone disease, rib fractures and severe bone pain. Predominant findings on bone biopsy was osteomalacia."*

#### **Professional Mental Midgets**

Some fluoridation promoters even drench their own families in the name of fluoride "safety and effectiveness!"

#### **Spray cans blamed for corrosion The Sun — 18th October, 1976**

A warning by the gas company and hot water manufacturers advised people not to use pressure pack sprays near gas stoves, hot water units and any heating appliance.

The reason — the fluorocarbon propellant when warm releases hydrofluoric acids which "eat into appliances causing irreversible corrosion!"

**Fluoride bad for home appliances but good for those living in the house!**

*Vol. 28, no. 5, p. 3*

## April 1984

Potassium fluoride export banned from the U.S.A. and Australia because it is the "important chemical for the manufacturing of poison war gases made and used in Iran and Iraq" (1984).

### Are dogs more important than humans?

16th August, 1981, The Michigan Toxic Substance Control Commission gave cat and dog food manufacturers a few weeks to reduce the fluoride content of their pet foods.

They reported:

*"In recent years as many as 100 dogs have died and others have developed birth defects, bone spurs and malformed teeth."*

Other official reports on fluoride go back many years — examples

**"June 1952 —**

Chronic endemic fluorosis of merino sheep in Queensland.

"Fluorosis in cattle (with photos)."

**Ministry of Agriculture, England 1964.**

This small history taken at random, of fluorides and fluoridation indicates that fluoride toxic science has been available for over ninety years, but commercialism and "other clans known as the untouchables" have controlled the world media, keeping populations ignorant of what they are daily consuming at the command of controlled politicians.

### Is Fluoridation Safe?

Around 1987 John and Sylvia Braim, farmers in Tasmania, started to notice the grass dying, the cattle becoming sick and lame, and still-births increasing each year. Finally it was found the nearby community fluoridation plant was leaking fluoride into their farm.

Cattle consistently died, and finally in Court the Braims were awarded \$65,000 damages against the Government, and costs were granted at a figure over \$50,000. (Australian Fluoridation News, March-April 1990.)

---

*Two racehorses died and fifteen others were seriously sick at Randwick after accidental use of a salt drench containing fluoride.*

---

### Queensland 1976

About 29th September, 1976 a malfunction in the Dalby (Queensland) fluoridation plant, resulted in the high fluoride content of their drinking water which was analysed at 560 ppmF. (Certified by the Queensland Government Chemical Laboratory.)

Another official sample taken from the home of the Collins family, registered 550 ppm F.

The members of this household were twice hospitalised suffering from acute nausea, diarrhea, spasms in the hands and legs, and generally violently ill. Their condition was described as "close to death".

The Collins family have since left Dalby.

The Queensland Government was accused of a covering-up and withholding information on the Dalby family poisoning with fluoridated water (Dalby Herald, 28th January, 1977).

### Are Fluorides Safe? — Smelter fallout

The Mohawk Indians on Cornwall Island in the Gulf of St. Lawrence cannot agree to any suggestion of "Fluoride safety". After 100 years of Mohawk Indian habitation of Cornwall Island, breeding cattle, and farming in an efficient manner, they have lost everything.

During the 20 years period 1959-1979 they were decimated by fluoride pollution from an aluminium smelter.

In 1980 the Mohawk Indians of Cornwall Island filed a \$150,000,000 class action against the aluminium smelter.

In that particular period their cattle became lame,

developed swellings on the legs, eventually the lameness became so severe that the animals laid down to eat on pasture, and then crawled to the next place to eat. With increasing age the cows had difficulty drinking cold water, and chewing was obviously painful. The animals would grab hay, but let it go after unsuccessful attempts to eat.

A leading veterinary scientist was asked to diagnose the health of the Cornwall Island cattle and announced:

***"Owing to extensive and serious chronic fluoride poisoning, no cattle born on Cornwall Island were going to live for more than 5 years."***

Medical doctors were called in to examine the Indian population and recorded "significant numbers of people with abnormalities of the muscular, skeletal, nervous and blood systems also unquestionably heavy exposure to fluoride had affected all the life forms studied, high rates of anaemia, irritability, rashes, diabetes, high blood pressure and thyroid diseases."

### Adulteration of beer by fluoride

The Commonwealth Brewing Company of Massachusetts, U.S.A. was found guilty (22/5/1945) of adding fluoride as a preservative to its beer.

The Court reiterated several times its statement that:

***"The adulteration had been effected if a poisonous substance had been added . . ."*** (Department of Health, Boston 10/10/1951).

### Muddled fluoridation thinking

Even the fluoridation experts seem mystified and muddled in their fluoridation thinking and documented expressions of efficiency.

One of the top fluoridation experts in the U.S.A., Dr Dennis H. Leverett, Chairman, Department of Community Dentistry, Eastman Dental Center, Rochester, New York, stated in *Science*, July 1982 —

*"The reduction in the prevalence of dental caries, which can be directly attributed to this increased use of fluorides is well documented."*

He then went on to state:

*"Within the past two to three years there has been increasing evidence from several developed nations of a drop in the prevalence of dental caries which cannot be attributed directly to intentional fluoride."*

He stated the cause of caries decline in non-fluoridated areas "is a matter of speculation".

Leverett concluded:

***"On the basis of the findings described in this article the definition of the optimum concentration of fluoride in community water supplies needs to be reassessed. It is important to remember that efficacy of fluoridation and standards for its implementation were established when water fluoridation was the exception rather than the rule. The redefinition of standards may be indicated."***

Judge for yourself which is science and which is speculation (hope), which is fact and which is an embarrassment to the fluoride lobby.

Dental caries declining in non-fluoridated countries at the same rate as fluoridated areas which use hyped-up fluoride adjuncts, government propaganda, and unlimited finance. The A.D.A. and A.M.A., W.H.O. etc. etc., all claiming only fluorides reduce caries and the reasons for the reductions of caries without compulsory fluoridation, mass medication, are only "speculative".

Continued in Nov.-Dec. issue, page 4.

### Subscriptions: *The Australian Fluoridation News*

- Australia (excluding Victoria) and overseas Box C9, P.O. Clarence Street, Sydney 2000
- Victoria Anti-Fluoridation Association of Victoria, Box 935 G, G.P.O. Melbourne. 3001

*Vol. 28, no. 5, p. 4*